Abstract—The main objective of this study was to find out the relationship of job stress with job attitudes in college lecturers. This is a cross-sectional study design based on purposive sampling technique. The results revealed that job stress has a significant negative relationship with job performance, job satisfaction, and life satisfaction while positively significant related with turnover intentions. Further a significant difference was found among married and unmarried college lecturers on these study variables.

Index Terms—Job stress, job performance, job satisfaction, life-satisfaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

The unpleasant condition or position at work place which negatively influence on an individual’s overall well-being and performance is known as job stress. Due to these unwanted settings, a person suffers from physical and mental illnesses. Job stress is not a new phenomenon of the present day. The term stress is coined with the Selaye and it is the general psychological and physiological reaction of the body to any event or stressor [1]. There are many types of stressors like noise and dim light at working place, high job demands, role overload, role ambiguity, lack of sleep and time pressure. These variables can lead to high job stress which can cause poor health and dissatisfaction with the job attitudes [2]. Job stress varies from general stress. Job stress is a confrontational response to the job descriptions of employees [3]. “Reference [4] labeled job stress as a work related psychological pressure and a worker’s ability to respond and grip the specific situation at work place skillfully”. Many previous studies investigated that the escalation in workload and long working hours also provide the base of occupational stress among the academicians [5], [6]. The job demands of the teaching profession as researchers, administrators and supervisors also increase job related stress among teachers of higher education [7]. Due the rapid changes in higher education structure, the academicians also supposed to perceive a considerable increase in the executive responsibilities which cause psychological problems [8].

There are many individual differences and abilities among the teaching staff which lead them to emotional and psychological worries. The main factors of this state are excessive research work, shortage of time and energy as well as over loads of teaching the extra classes [9]. In particular several researches described that increasing professional responsibilities are the most important elements that contribute their share in prevailing the psychological and emotional problems and poor job performance [10], [11]. There are many personal differences, inter and intra personal conflicts among the teaching staff, everyday work load, contradictory demands from colleagues and seniors, uneven demands from their different personal and organizational roles, insufficient resources for suitable presentation, unsatisfactory proficiency to meet the demands of their job, poor self-sufficiency to make decision on different tasks became the main basis of job related stressors [12], [13].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

It was evidenced in the past studies that job stress deposited adverse relationship on job attitudes, self-confidence, dissatisfaction with life and the upswing in turnover intentions [14]-[16]. Job stress is an outcome of many psychosocial factors which affect the workforce in irregular ways. “Reference [17] described that there is an undesirable correlation between job stress and job performance”. A famous study of the faculty members of a medical college also established these results across the gender [18]. Stress is connected to some psychological problems i.e. anxiety, low self-esteem and motivation, mood variations. These consequences decline the job performance; job satisfaction and climbing the turnover intentions severely without gender regard [19]. The subject of turnover intentions has been deeply rooted history and remarkable reputation among researchers, consultants and academicians around the world. “Reference [20] defined the turnover intentions as it is a rational and measured attitude towards leaving a job. Many studies in the past pointed out that turnover intention forecasts the turnover precisely [21], [22]. Job stress has broad consequences on turnover intentions. The high amount of stress leads to dissatisfaction with the job and low job performance. This deprived situation provides a favorable environment for turnover intention among the employees. Finally they leave the job and find a better opportunity [23], [24].

“Reference [25] described the term job satisfaction as it is a stable and balanced arrangement of environmental, psychological and physiological situations at work place. Due to this refine linkage of these features an individual enforced to say that I am satisfied with my job.” Job satisfaction depends upon the optimistic feelings of employees towards their jobs [26]. Job satisfaction is observed as the feelings experienced by an individual after the completion of a task or job. This mental or psychological state could be positive or negative and primarily conditioned with the outcome of that completed the job [27]. “Reference [28] conducted a study on health workers and established the negative relationship among job stress, job satisfaction and
job performance. Another research revealed the negative significant association with job satisfaction and poor physical health, low psychological well-being and high level of job stress [29].

“Reference [30] defined that stress is the key component and have positive significant correlation with high turnover and turnover intentions, absenteeism and costs substantial health problems. These researchers also established the negative significant relation to decreased job performance, job satisfaction and low level of satisfaction with life”. Numerous past researches also highlighted the significant link between stress and its contrary reactions such as sleep disorders, psychological problems, heart disease and low level of job performance, job satisfaction, rising turnover intentions and low standard of satisfaction with life [31]-[33].

A. Purpose of the Study

From the last three decades stress is a topic of wide-ranging attention to industrial and organizational scholars, managing experts, and human resource administrators. Keeping in mind the importance of this bubbly and fizzing issue this current study has following objectives:

1) The primary objective was to inspect the association of job stress with job performance, turnover intentions, and life satisfaction among the college lecturers.

2) The second objective was to estimate the level of all study variables between married and unmarried college lecturers.

B. Hypotheses

From the extensive literature review we formulated these hypotheses as under:

- **H1:** There is negative relationship of job stress with job performance, job and life satisfaction among college lecturers.

- **H2:** There is positive relationship between job stress and life satisfaction.

- **H3:** Unmarried lecturers are high on job stress and turnover intentions.

III. Method

A. Participants

The participants were comprised on teaching community and the sample covered only one hundred and forty college lecturers (N = 140) equal male (n = 70) and female (n =70) from different public higher educational colleges of Faisalabad division Pakistan. For the purpose of data collection we applied purposeful sampling method.

B. Instruments

The participants were requested to complete a set of questionnaires according to their agreement and disagreement with all statements. For the measurement of job stress the Job Stress Questionnaire, JSQ [34] was used. The Alpha Reliability (α) was 0.80. Job performance was evaluated with six items scale [35]. The reliability (α) of the scale was 0.87. For the assessment of job satisfaction and turnover intentions we use Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire “unpublished” [36]. These two scales contained three items each. The Cronbach’s Alpha Reliability (α) was 0.88 and 0.82 respectively in our study. For assessing the life satisfaction we used Life Satisfaction Scale [37]. This scale has 5 items and the reliability was 0.85.

C. Procedure

This study based on cross-sectional survey design. Before administering the study scales the informed consent was received from the participants and then the researchers informed the participants individually the importance, purpose and implications of this study. All the participants were contacted and approached during their free periods and breaking times. Approval was also got from the principals of the respective colleges for the purpose of data collection. During this data collection process ethical issues were followed on top priorities.

IV. RESULTS

The major research question for this current study was sought out the relationship of stress among job outcome and life satisfaction. In order to answer this research question the required statistical analyses were computed for the results. The results are presented as under (See Table 1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>18.76</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>-.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.56</td>
<td>-.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>22.24</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: JS (Job Stress), JP (Job Performance), TI (Turnover Intentions), JS (Job Satisfaction), LS (Life Satisfaction).

This table revealed that job stress was significant associated with job performance(r = -.18, P < .05), turnover intentions (r = .54, P < .01), job satisfaction (r = -.41, P < .01), and life satisfaction (r = .45, P < .01). Job performance was significant correlated with, turnover intentions (r = -.28, P < .01), job satisfaction (r = .49, P < .01) whereas life satisfaction was non-significant correlated (r = .16). Turnover intentions was significant correlated with job satisfaction (r = -.56, P < .01) and life satisfaction (r = -.53, P < .01). Job satisfaction was significant with life satisfaction (r = .34, P < .01).

Table II revealed that stress was significant predictor for job performance (β = -.18*, ΔR² = .024, F =3.18*), turnover intention (β = .54***, ΔR² = .201, F =36.18***), job satisfaction (β = -.41***, ΔR² = .158, F = 17.73**), and life satisfaction (β = -.45***, ΔR² = .201, F = 23.35*). This model explained that if stress is higher than job performance, job satisfaction, and life satisfaction will be reduced while turnover intention will be high among lecturers.
satisfaction and turnover intentions. Due to job investigations job stress has negative significant association researches too. According to the results of previous studies also pointed out that the level of stress and turnover intentions increases [43]. "Reference [45] explained that employees who face unusual high job stress the job performance and job satisfaction decreases and the turnover intentions increases [43]. Various previous studies also verified that employees who face unusual high job stress have low levels of job performance, job satisfaction and life satisfaction. Ultimately this state of dissatisfaction leads to high turnover intentions. Unmarried employees showed high job stress as compared to married employees due to poor peer relations and low social support from the family members [44]. "Reference [45] explained that unmarried and young employees have low levels of job performance, job satisfaction, low level of satisfaction with life and high turnover intentions as compared to married and older workers. The results of this current study proved with the previous studies and have strong support from their findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Married (n = 70)</th>
<th>Unmarried (n = 70)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Stress</td>
<td>17.89</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Performance</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover Intention</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Satisfaction</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III revealed that stress and turnover intentions were significant higher among unmarried lecturers than married lecturers. The results shown in same table that job performance, job satisfaction and life satisfaction was higher among married lecturers as compare to unmarried lecturers. The hypotheses of this study are sustained among unmarried lecturers. These findings are constant with the prior findings. Life Satisfaction was moderately correlated among married lecturers while job performance, job satisfaction and life satisfaction was higher among unmarried lecturers as compare to married lecturers. The Cohen’s d showed that stress and turnover intentions was significant higher among unmarried lecturers than married lecturers. The results shown in same table that job performance, job satisfaction and life satisfaction was higher among married lecturers as compare to unmarried lecturers. The hypotheses of this study are sustained among unmarried lecturers. These findings are constant with the prior studies and have strong support from their findings.

VI. LIMITATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

The current study also incorporated with some limitations. Due the shortage of time and resources we only approached a small sample of the vast population. This factor can raise the question of the generalizability. To avoid this issue the sample would be increased in upcoming researches. This study also carries the road map for future research in wide organizational and administrative perspective. Later studies can comprehend the other variables in large and comparative sample with the present variables to enhance the generalizability and reliability of the findings. The sampling technique using for data collection in this study could be improved in future by adopting the random sampling technique.

REFERENCES

Ejaz Ahmed Khan was born on 13 March 1967, in Jhang Punjab Pakistan. He has completed his MSc Psychology degree in 1992 from the University of Punjab Lahore Pakistan, MA Political Science in 2010 from University of Sargodha Punjab Pakistan and MS I/O Psychology in 2011 from International Islamic University Islamabad Pakistan respectively. At present he is a PhD Scholar at International Islamic University Islamabad. He is interested in I/O Psychology and my areas of interest are Organizational behavior, Job attitudes, Occupational role Stressors, Work-family Conflict, Work-family Enrichment, Conflict Management, Organizational Politics, Group Dynamics, Political and Electoral Behavior. He has presented his research articles in different conferences.

regarding to latest

Muhammad Aqeel was born on 1986 in Toba Tek Singh Punjab Pakistan. He has completed my MSc Psychology degree in 2012 from Quaid-I-Azam University Islamabad Pakistan. Currently he is doing MS Psychology from International Islamic University Islamabad Pakistan. He has been trying to explore Antecedents and consequences of truancy in school children since last two years. He has skills software SPSS-20 R. Amose and M.Plus

Muhammad Akram Riaz was born on 26 July 1985 in Sargodha Pakistan and recently. He is living in Islamabad. He has completed his master Psychology in 2012 from Quaid-I-Azam University Islamabad Pakistan. Recently he is doing MS Psychology from International Islamic university Islamabad Pakistan. He has skills in using SPSS for analyzing the data. He also is working as a visiting lecturer in the Department of Psychology, International Islamic University Islamabad.