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Abstract—Integrating applications is not simple. The code, which is often distributed between client and server, is highly error-prone and complicated to retain. In this paper, we introduce a generative environment for abstract services and non functional characteristics. The mediation code is necessary to make applications interoperate. This executes all the operations that are necessary for true communication between two applications. The paper describes the functions required for the service integration towards security orchestration.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The software system plays very important role more and more into our company and many views of our life. The new services help become us and used becomes in masses [1]. These new services are interoperating with the applications in general indebted. These services integrate around the business data and rational firm processes arrange themselves. For example, in telecommunication, the new services on the inherited applications are required for network direction, invoicing systems and managing customer direction, etc.

The service composition is complex for several reasons. First, there are a lot of technologies to describe, the edition and to compose the services. The Web Services are related to a lot of norms in evolution. Nowadays, the service composition cannot be based on the service specifications only. Syntactic Compatibility does not guarantee semantic compatibility. In practice, the service composition is based on the hard encoded rules that allow attaining the foreseen results. A service composition has to attain a series of predefined non functional qualities, as the security for example, which demands the production of complicated code.

This code is often distributed between client/server and difficult to maintain. In this parchment, we present a tool that supports Orchestration and security. This tool furnishes an extensible solution to express separately manage flows of non functional property. The Orchestrator Model for System Security (OSS) is designed for service integration with security orchestration.

The parchment is organized as it follows. First, some basic conditions for integration and support. Section III is proposal based on an approach models motivated. The ePO and OSS are compared in Section IV, Section V presents the orchestration activities and Service Integration is presented in Section V. Section VI concludes this parchment.

II. CLASSIFICATION OF SECURITY SERVICES IN WEB SERVICES

Service integration depends on public services. Service selection depends on user requirements, and concrete runtime behavior of services. Security makes service integration harder. The run-time permissions depend on a suitable abstraction of the history of all the pieces of code (possibly partially) executed so far. This approach has been receiving major attention, at both levels of foundations [17, 18, and 19].

The security activities i.e. reading and writing files, service invocation, are called events. Sequences of events are called histories. The security classification is on the following aspects:

Stateless / Stateful Services:
A service which does not keep histories is stateless. A service which keeps the history is stateful service [20].

Local / Global Histories:
Locally generated events are known as local histories. Global history may cross over multiple services [20].

Dependent / Independent Threads:
An independent thread keeps separate history, while dependent threads may share part of their histories. Therefore, dependent threads may influence each other when using the same service, while independent threads cannot. [20]

Service is an interpreted functional type, of the form S1 --> RH -- > S2. This represents the relationship between two services i.e. S1 evaluates to an object of type S2. The annotation RH is a history expression. The RH directs the selection of services that respect the requested properties about security or other non-functional aspects.

Service interfaces can implement using call-by-policy primitive. For instance, service integration can be mechanically inferred through a type and effect system. A policy P is a integration of services. To select a service matching a given policy P, and with functional type S1 -- > S2, a client request for Req (S1 --> P -- > S2). The call-by-Policy ensures the service selection, with respect to policy P.

A call-by-policy is a standard service call, and it is formalized as a mapping from requests to services.

III. NON-FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES

Integrating applications descend not simply to the simple
calls of service. The mediation code is necessary to make applications interoperate.

Communication is primary goal of mediation to enable the applications that use the different communication protocols to interoperate. This is executed by means of the protocol transformation as in a network bridge [5].

- Syntactic alliance function is to align data formats. This can be done between every application or by an intermediary format [5].
- Semantic alliance function is to align semantic data. This is required because many of the applications have major differences in the definitions of function and of behaviors [5].
- Non functional property is to guarantee the certain property of quality in the application exchanges, for example the security or availability [5].
- Storage is to take annotations of all the exchanges between the applications. The mediation layer can’t provide support for service call, responses and the data [5].
- Interception is to collect system check data that verify the foreseen quality of service [5].
- Business logic codes: The mediation layer can be used to insert logic code, as an access to a database for instances. This approach is useful but its usage is not recommended [5].

The mediation layer can be used through an EAI (Enterprise Application Integration). EAI is an integration framework composed of different technologies and services. This is a middleware to enable integration of systems and applications across the enterprise [1]. Web services aim for the solutions of lighter integration and established the definition of Enterprise Service Buses, or ESB. ESB [2] software is used for design and implementation. It is also used for interaction and communication between mutually interacting software applications in SOA. The Services of client and Web components requires mediation for communication. These components execute the previously presented operations (the protocol transformation, Syntactic alliance and semantic, the insert of non functional code, etc.). It provides a unique interface for all the different elements (i.e. the applications) implied in a communication. This reduced the number of point to point connections. Fig. 1 shows the service integration through ESB.

![Fig. 1. Service integration through ESB.](image)

IV. PROPOSAL

Generative approach for OSS is based on two drivers: Abstraction and Separation. OSS model having an abstracted service defined in the following terms:

- The functional interfaces specify the functional characteristics of services.
- The property, identified by their names and types.

Abstracts service can be composed and executed with a physical service. A composite abstract service is described as a simple abstracted service and executed by a series of physical services.

A physical service is an implementation: it is furnished with the code (the files). It is illustrated with interfaces and property. The interfaces can be the Java interface, IDL-affection interfaces, etc.

Fig. 2 shows the service integration in OSS. It is clear from the figure that single security policy is composed of different integrated service. These integrated services are the sub-set of the security policy. These security policies are the sub-set of the physical services.

![Fig. 2. Service integration in OSS.](image)

The mediation layer controls the communication between the security policies and orchestrator. There are two layered
orchestration in the system. Internal orchestration is at security policy i.e. each security policy orchestrate different service according to the service call either parallel or sequential.

- Main orchestration is physical service orchestrator/security policy orchestrator i.e. different security policies get selected according to security aspect.

V. ORCHESTRATOR MODEL FOR SYSTEM SECURITY (OSS) AND McAFFEE EPOLICY ORCHESTRATOR

ePO is the security management software for systems, networks, data, and compliance solutions. The following are the flaws in ePO:

- It works for the large business organizations only.
- Identity Management is not integrated.
- It’s very expensive and hard to manage for small scale organization.
- Feasibility of adding several non-functional properties is not possible.

The above mentioned flaws are improved in Orchestrator model for system security (OSS). The following are the improvements or addition of new facilities in OSS:

- The feasibility of addition of several non-functional properties.
- The principle of the separation is used to simplify the system. Advantage of this separation is that the models can be easily maintained.
- The dynamic selection of services allows weak coupling of property.

The flaws ePO are improved in proposed OSS. Service Integration with security orchestration is taken into account in OSS. It makes easy service selection, dynamic selection of security services and two level orchestrations are possible.

The service integration with security orchestration is introduced in proposed OSS. This reduces the loading time and selection time because of the separation concept.

Merits of Service Integration with OSS:

- Service selection is easy.
- Dynamic selection of security services.
- Two level orchestrations are possible.
- Separation of module makes easy composition.

Demerits of Service Integration with OSS:

- Less scaling options, harder to incrementally grow the platform as traffic or users increase.
- The CPU requirements reach peak levels simultaneously, performance, and stability may be adversely affected.
- IP multicast design require, because service selection for multi-user affect CPU load.

The service integration with security orchestration reduces the loading time and selection time because of the separation concept.

VI. ORCHESTRATION ACTIVITIES

Security orchestration enables the services in trusted area. Fig. 3 shows an OSS framework [10]. Functioning of the OSS is as follows: All services are called through a gateway as per security policy. A request message (for example 3 for authentication) is given to an Orchestrator. The Orchestrator invokes the security services in a response message as per the request 3). An exception is thrown to the Orchestrator, if a service check fails [YM05]. The gateway and Orchestrator function as a single entity.

OSS contains the filters and security services. Example of security services are Authentication, Validation and Authorization. Consider following example. It explains the working principle of OSS. User can access the available service only if request passes through the OSS.

User sends request to access service 2 (Step 0). This will invoke public registry of service 2. A message will be generated. This message is passed to the Orchestrator (Step 1). The security - services are executed either in parallel or sequential.

Once a process completes through the steps 2, 3, and 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 the Orchestrator will respond to user through step 10. If any intermediate step fails then an exception is thrown. The Orchestrator will stop the processing. It will send a service deny message to the user.

Table I lists Orchestrator activities as a function of time. The Orchestrator selects the security activities at different instants either parallel or sequential. Instances are assumed as T1, T2, T3….and are such that T1<T2<T3…..
### VII. INTEGRATION OF SERVICES

The integration of security service and Orchestrator models is required. A model for execution is as follows:

The selection of the services takes into consideration in model for execution. An Execution model maintains the state of the execution environment. Application simplifies an intranet management for security services using OSS.

The model for execution allows relation between service properties and service dynamism.

The Execution model is like a directory. It understands the information of available physical services. It also takes into account historic invocations of the services. The content of these directories is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Orchestration</th>
<th>Sub-Activity</th>
<th>Sub-Activity Orchestration</th>
<th>Start Instance</th>
<th>Finish Instance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authentication</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>Username</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td></td>
<td>X.509 Certificate</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>T3+ΔT3</td>
<td>T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Encryption</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>T4+ΔT4</td>
<td>T5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>T5</td>
<td>T6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td></td>
<td>X.509 Certificate</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>T6+ΔT6</td>
<td>T7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Encryption</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>T7+ΔT7</td>
<td>T8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidentiality</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>Confidentiality</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>T8</td>
<td>T9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit (Logging)</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>File</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>T9</td>
<td>T10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>T9</td>
<td>T11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Element Selection</td>
<td>Sequential/ Parallel</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>T11’, T11”, T11”’</td>
<td>T12, T12’, T12”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution</td>
<td>Sequential/ Parallel</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>T13</td>
<td>T14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Approach in the execution phase is as follows:**

1) A selection mechanism allows choosing most appropriate service.

2) A physical service modelling is done according to the type of service. The model takes into account the services functionality and security characteristics.
3) Code generation process.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Proposed OSS model supports the addition of the security in the service composition during SOC. Service Integration allows two level orchestration i.e. internal and external orchestration. Service selection is easy. Dynamic selection of security services is possible. Separation of module makes easy composition. Security service Orchestration becomes possible. Separation of module makes easy composition. Security service Orchestration becomes flexible.

OSS tool easily integrates itself in the system of information of a business. It suffices to adapt the access to the system of identity management according to the used technology. It is easy to add any number of non-functional properties.

An application of the OSS is described. The results of an experiment performed are described. A chain of data from a data acquisition system is used in the experiment. The experiment shows implementation of security aspect using the OSS.

Inter-sector and inter-enterprise working will be more important in future. Because, the mutual technologies are increasing day-by-day and offer low-cost, efficient means of coordination. OSS can be added more flexibility and made easily extendible for inter-enterprise.
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